

Fire Risk Assessment Coleridge Court, 1-15

Version 3

31 August 2023



Review Date: 31 August 2024

Score: Tolerable Risk

Assessor: Mark Thomas

Contents

| 1 | Action Plan Summary | 3 |
|----|-----------------------------|------|
| 2 | Introduction | 5 |
| 3 | Executive Summary | 6 |
| 4 | Premises Details | 7 |
| 5 | Fire Prevention | . 10 |
| 6 | Escape Routes & Fire Spread | 13 |
| 7 | Detection & Warning | 18 |
| 8 | Firefighting | 21 |
| 9 | Lighting | 23 |
| 10 | Signs & Notices | 24 |
| 11 | Fire Safety Management | 26 |
| 12 | 2 Tasks | 28 |
| 13 | Risk Score | 31 |

Action Plan Summary

| Task No. | Category | Sub Category | Action Required | Priority | Status | Action Taken | Date Completed |
|----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|----------|------------|--------------|-------------------|
| 1 | Escape Routes & Fire Spread | Smoke Ventilation | It was noted that the window AOV on the fourth floor corridor did not open and appears to have been screwed shut. This should be repaired serviced and tested as soon as possible. | High | Identified | | |
| 2 | Detection & Warning | Automatic Fire Detection | This provision of a common fire alarm system contradicts National Guidance for a building of this type (general needs, purpose built, self contained flats). A letter dated 6th January 2020 from QFSM Ltd to ISHA regarding the provision of fire alarms in common parts of blocks of flats offers guidance and recommendations on this matter and this letter should be referred to when considering whether this is a necessary provision, or if it is considered a necessary provision whether this fire alarm is of the Standard required. | Advisory | Identified | | |
| 3 | Fire Prevention | Housekeeping | The storage of combustible items in escape routes should be prohibited. | Medium | Identified | | |
| | | | There is an excessive amount of items outside flat numbers 6, 7 and 10. | | | | |

| 4 | Escape Routes & Fire Spread | Construction and Glazing | Confirm that any inspection hatches are appropriately fire rated, and are replaced after use by contractors. | Medium | Identified |
|---|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--|----------|------------|
| 5 | Escape Routes & Fire Spread | Construction and Glazing | Whilst it is evident that a comprehensive program of fire stopping has been carried out within this building, there is a duct which passes from the ground floor staircase through to the ground floor corridor, there are numerous vents from this duct into the common areas. It cannot be confirmed without any protection is afforded (such as dampers) Where is this duct passes through compartment walls. It is recommended to conduct a fire stopping survey of this area to ensure compartmentation is provided and the staircase remains protected | Medium | Identified |
| 6 | Escape Routes & Fire Spread | Ease of Use | A requirement introduced in 2015 in BS 7671 which covers electrical installations in the UK, states that all new wiring systems to use metal, rather than plastic, to support cables in escape routes, to prevent their premature collapse in the event of a fire. | Advisory | Identified |

Introduction

This report presents the significant findings of a fire risk assessment carried-out at the premises by QFSM Ltd. The scope, format and limitations of the fire risk assessment have been discussed and agreed with the client.

The scope of the assessment does not include individual dwellings. Notwithstanding any statement or recommendation made with respect to smoke/heat alarms within dwellings, it is always recommended as best practice to ensure that working smoke alarms are provided in all dwellings at least to a BS 5839-6 Category LD3 standard. These should ideally be Grade D alarms (mains powered with integral battery back-up), although Grade F alarms (battery powered only) are a reasonable short term measure.

The report includes an action plan which contains recommended tasks, each with a suggested due date. These due dates are only our suggestions, and may or may not be appropriate, depending on individual circumstances such as financial constraints and requirements of enforcing authorities.

The premises risk score was assessed at the time of the fire risk assessment, and a recommended review date has been provided. The actual level of risk may change over time, as a result of tasks being completed, or new risks arising. Regardless of the review date, the fire risk assessment should be reviewed regularly so as to keep it up to date and particularly if:

- there is reason to suspect that the fire risk assessment is no longer valid; or
- there has been a significant change in the matters to which the fire risk assessment relates.

If you have any queries please contact QFSM Ltd at office@qfsmltd.co.uk.

Executive Summary

The previous FRA for this building was reviewed prior to this inspection, paying particular attention to any tasks generated by that FRA. During this inspection these tasks were inspected where access was possible, to ascertain if the recommended remedial work had been completed, and comments regarding the progress of any remedial work made accordingly.

It was noted that a large number of tasks recommended in the previous FRA have been completed, however, some remain as detailed within this report.

Records for the testing and maintenance of fire safety related systems are not kept on site. These are managed centrally and are held at the ISHA Head Office.

The wall, floors and stairs in the common areas are of masonry/concrete construction.

There is a BS5839-6 fire alarm provided in the common parts of this building. This may been provided due to concerns over compartmentation within the building. No documentation regarding the cause and effect of the system was available and it cannot be confirmed whether the fire alarm in the common areas is interlinked to those installed within flats. The provision of a common fire alarm system contradicts National Guidance for a building of this type (general needs, purpose built, self contained flats). A letter dated 6th January 2020 from QFSM Ltd to ISHA regarding the provision of fire alarms in common parts of blocks of flats offers guidance and recommendations on this matter and this letter should be referred to when considering whether this is a necessary provision, or if it is considered a necessary provision whether this fire alarm is of the Standard required.

It was noted that the AOV in the fourth floor corridor is defective (it has also been screwed shut), this should be repaired and tested as soon as possible.

It is evident that a comprehensive program of Fire stopping has been conducted within riser cupboards following recommendations of the previous fire risk assessment.

A recommendation in the previous fire risk assessment was for intumescent strips and cold smoke seals to be installed on riser cupboard doors, this has been completed.

Giving consideration to the general fire safety arrangements within the building, and the tasks recommended as detailed within this report, it is assessed that this building presents a moderate risk. This risk rating has been considered in the most part due to concerns regarding the current provision of automatic smoke ventilation in the staircase.

This new version was created on 31/08/2023 and is not a review of the fire risk assessment. This is purely an on-site audit carried out at the request of the client to ascertain the progress of any action carried out against previous tasks identified in previous versions of this fire risk assessment.

Premises Details

Building Information

| Address line 1 | Coleridge Court |
|----------------|--|
| Address line 2 | Flats 1-15 |
| Town | Islington |
| Postcode | N1 8RH |
| FRA Type | Type 1 - Common parts only (non-destructive) |

Description

A Type 1 fire risk assessment has been conducted at this building. This means the inspection of the building has been non-destructive. As well as considering the arrangements for means of escape, the fire risk assessment has included, where possible, the examination of a sample of flat entrance doors. It has also considered, so far as reasonably practicable, the separating construction between the flats and the common parts without any intrusive examination of construction. This Type of fire risk assessment has not involved entry to flats beyond the area of the flat entrance door.

| Client | ISHA |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Use | Purpose-built, self-contained flats |
| Number of floors - ground and above | 5 |
| Number of floors - below ground | 0 |
| Number of flats | 15 |
| Number of stair cores | 1 |

Approach to flats

• Via protected lobbies / corridors

· Direct external access

Approximate period of construction

2000-2010

Is the top occupied storey over 18 metres above access level?

No

Construction details

Masonry construction (part rendered), intermediate concrete floors and a flat roof.

Access to common area via secure door entry system at front elevation (with Fire Switch), with flats accessed from lobbies at each floor.

Flat 1 has direct external access at front elevation.

Service/riser cupboards at each floor.

Passenger lift provided.

Enclosed rear yard containing bicycle store.

A dry rising main is provided, with outlets in each corridor.



External walls - rear elevation



External walls - side elevation



External walls - front elevation



"Juliet" style balconies only



Private terraces for flats on the fourth floor.

External wall details

The external walls of this building of brick/mortar construction with rendered sections. The substrate to which this render has been applied cannot be confirmed within the scope of this fire risk assessment.

There are no private balconies, aside from small "Juliet style" balconies on the front elevation. There is also small private terraces for flats on the fourth floor.

Attention is drawn to the Ministry of Housing, Communities & Local Government Consolidated Advice Note for building owners of multi-storey, multi-occupied residential buildings, dated January 2020 (https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/buildingsafety-advice-for-building-owners-including-fire-doors) (the "Advice Note").

The Advice Note recommends that building owners should consider the risk of external fire spread as part of the fire risk assessment for multi-occupied residential buildings.

Consideration has been given to this matter within this fire risk assessment. The Advice Note further recommends the assessment of the fire risks of any external wall system, irrespective of the height of the building.

| Are there any private balconies? | No |
|--|-----------|
| People | |
| Are there any people especially at risk from fire? | Not Known |

Fire Prevention

Electrical

| Are electrical installations and appliances free from any obvious defect? | Yes |
|--|--|
| Are fixed installations periodically inspected and tested? | Yes |
| Are portable electrical appliances used? | No |
| Comments | |
| Documentation regarding the testing and maintenance of fixed electrical in Neighbourhood Officer has confirmed these are all up to date. | stallations is held centrally by ISHA. The |
| Gas | |
| Are gas installations and appliances free from any obvious defect? | N/A |
| Is gas equipment protected/located so as not to be prone to accidental damage? | N/A |
| Comments | |
| There is no gas provision or equipment in the common areas. | |
| Heating | |
| Are fixed heating installations free from any obvious defect? | N/A |
| Are portable heaters used? | No |
| Comments | |
| There is no heating provision in the common areas. | |
| Cooking | |
| Does cooking take place on the premises? | No |
| Comments | |
| Cooking takes place within flats only and does not take place in the common par | ts. |

Arson

Is security against arson reasonable?

Yes

Is there a reasonable absence of external fuels and ignition sources?

Yes

Comments

Access was gained into this building via a secured main entrance door.

CCTV cameras are installed internally and externally. Whilst these cameras may have been installed for security purposes they also serve to reduce the risk of deliberate fire setting.



CCTV cameras are provided.

Housekeeping

Is accumulation of combustibles or waste avoided?

No

Are there appropriate storage facilities for combustible & hazardous materials?

N/A

Comments

There are a number of combustible items located in the flat corridors. It was also noted that a small chest of drawers which are located in the staircase on the first floor. These items should be removed, it is imperative that combustibles are not located in any escape route.



Paint located in the electrical cupboard

Building Works Are there any hot works being carried-out at this time? No Are the premises free of any obvious signs of incorrect hot work procedures in Yes the past? **Smoking** Are there suitable arrangements taken to prevent fires caused by smoking? Yes Comments "No Smoking" signage is provided, and there is no evidence of smoking taking place in the common parts. **Dangerous Substances** Are dangerous substances present, or liable to be present? No Lightning Is a lightning protection system installed? No

Comments

There is no lightning protection visible, However, if there is lightening protection in place it should be periodically inspected by a competent person, to the frequency recommended in BS EN 62305.

Escape Routes & Fire Spread

Ease of Use

| Are exits easily and immediately openable? | Yes |
|--|-----|
| Do fire exits open in direction of escape where necessary? | N/A |
| Are escape routes unobstructed and safe to use? | Yes |
| Are there reasonable measures for the evacuation of disabled people? | Yes |

Comments

No specific occupancy risk identified. Tenants are a typical cross section of public and would include visitors and contractors. It is assumed occupants are capable of using the means of escape, unaided to reach a place of ultimate safety.

The provision of thumb turn devices on final exits means the doors can be opened without the use of a key.

A requirement introduced in 2015 in BS 7671 which covers electrical installations in the UK, states that all new wiring systems to use metal, rather than plastic, to support cables in escape routes, to prevent their premature collapse in the event of a fire.

There is an emergency release device on the main entrance door to the ground floor lobby. This was checked to be working during the review and it is assumed that it fails safe to open in the event of a mains failure although this could not be checked.

Dimensions

| Are travel distances reasonable? | Yes |
|------------------------------------|-----|
| Is there sufficient exit capacity? | Yes |

Fire Doors

| Doors which are expected to be fire resisting: | Electrical Cupboards Flats Lobbies Risers |
|--|---|
| Electrical Cupboard Doors | • FD30 |
| Flat Doors | • FD30S self-closing |
| Lobby Doors | • FD30 self-closing |
| Riser Doors | • FD30 |
| Are fire doors to a suitable standard? | Minor Defects |
| Is there suitable provision of self-closing devices? | No |
| Is there suitable provision of hold-open devices? | N/A |
| Are doors kept locked where appropriate? | Yes |

Comments

As part of this Fire Risk Assessment, access was gained into a sample flats to assess the suitability of flat entrance doors, and any internal doors which open onto the entrance hallways.

Access was gained into flats 5 and 15 which have an entrance door fitted to FD30S SC standard, and the internal doors which open onto the entrance hallway are fire resisting. However, there is no working self closing device fitted to the entrance door to flat 5.

The remainder of flat front doors within the building could not be assessed due to access. However, these all appear to be of the same age, condition and design of those which were accessed and were probably all installed at the same time. It is therefore reasonable to assume that they are of the same fire resisting standard.

The provision and condition of self closing devices, intumescent strips/cold smoke seals, and effective door closing action of these doors however could not be assessed and this should be confirmed ensure all doors afford FD30S SC standard of fire resistance.

There are no smoke seals provided on doors to lobbies, risers and electrical cupboards. The intumescent strips should be replaced with combined intumescent strips and smoke seals.

VERSION 2:

Due to current government guidelines regarding the current COVID-19 pandemic, access into flats to confirm the provision and standard of fire resisting flat entrance doors was not possible. Inspection of flat entrance doors was made by external examination only, taking into account the age and condition of the doors, and where possible referring to previous FRAs where more detailed information regarding flat entrance doors and fire alarm provision may be found. All flat entrance doors appeared to be in good condition, with no obvious visible damage or defects and therefore it can reasonably assume they would afford the same level of fire resistance as found in the previous FRA.

It is understood that communal doors are inspected regularly by neighbourhood officers and formally recorded in the quarterly/6 monthly estate inspections with residents. Records are held with the neighbourhood officers. Flat entrance doors are inspected during the annual LGSR visits where the gas engineers record on their PDA if a door closer exists and intumescent strips and cold smoke seals exist.



Example of flat front doors standard within this building



Access was not possible into this door.

Construction & Glazing

| Are escape routes protected with suitable walls and floors? | Yes |
|--|----------------|
| Is there adequate compartmentation? | No |
| Is there reasonable limitation of linings that might promote fire spread? | Yes |
| Glazing which is expected to be fire resisting, inc vision panels and fanlights: | • Lobbies |
| Lobby Glazing | Georgian wired |
| Is glazing reasonable and free from any obvious defects? | Yes |

Comments

There are multiple cable and pipe penetrations within each riser cupboard on every floor which have no fire stopping installed. It is imperative these penetrations are fully fire stopped. It should also be considered to conduct a full fire stopping survey of this building.

There are a large number of uPVC inspection hatches throughout the building. Whilst outside the scope of this FRA, opportunity was taken to inspect above one of these panels which had not been replaced. It is evident that fire stopping above the ceiling is not to an acceptable standard with pipe and cable penetrations entering flats. It would be impracticable to remove the ceiling to remedy all penetrations into every flat on the building. With this in mind however, it is imperative that the ceilings and walls afford at least a 30 min standard of fire resistance between common parts and flats. It is necessary therefore to ensure that any inspection hatches are appropriately fire rated, and are replaced after use by contractors,

VERSION 2:

It is evident that there has been a water leak in the staircase on the third floor. This has caused some damage to the ceiling and walls in this area, to an extent where the compartmentation afforded has possibly been breached. It is recommended to repair the ceiling to ensure adequate compartmentation is maintained. It is noted that there is a concrete slab above this damage and therefore this task has been afforded a low priority.

Whilst it is evident that a comprehensive program of fire stopping has been carried out within this building, there is a duct which passes from the ground floor staircase through to the ground floor corridor, there are numerous vents from this duct into the common areas. It cannot be confirmed without any protection is afforded (such as dampers) Where is this duct passes through compartment walls. It is recommended to conduct a fire stopping survey of this area to ensure compartmentation is provided and the staircase remains protected

Dampers, Ducts & Chutes

Are there suitable measures to restrict fire spread via ducts and concealed spaces?

Yes

Comments

No Dampers, Ducts or Chutes evident.

Fire Risk Assessment Coleridge Court, 1-15 Version 3

Smoke Ventilation

Areas where smoke ventilation is expected:

- Lobbies
- Staircases

Lobbies

• Natural Vent - Automatic

Staircases

• Openable Windows

Is smoke ventilation reasonable and free from any obvious defects?

Yes

Comments

The window AOV system was tested (manual actuation only) during this inspection.

The window AOV in the staircase on the fourth floor opened correctly, as did the window AOVs on the first second and third floor corridors. It was noted that the window AOV on the fourth floor corridor did not open and appears to have been screwed shut. This should be repaired serviced and tested as soon as possible.



Smoke ventilation control



Window AOV on the 4th floor



Window AOVs in corridors (3rd floor)



Openable windows in the staircase - first second and third floors

Detection & Warning

Control Equipment

| Is an electrical fire alarm system expected? | No |
|---|--|
| Why not? | Purpose-built flats |
| Is a fire detection and/or alarm system provided? | Yes |
| Areas covered | FlatsCommunal areas |
| Flats | |
| System Category | • BS 5839 Pt6 Grade D Category LD3 |
| Cause & Effect | Sounds alarm in flat of origin |
| Communal Areas | |
| System Category | BS 5839 Pt1 Category L5 BS 5839 Pt6 Grade D Category L3 |
| Cause & Effect | Sounds alarm in communal areas Operates smoke ventilation |
| Is the control equipment suitably located? | N/A |
| Is the control equipment free from any obvious fault or defect? | N/A |
| Comments | |

It is not confirmed whether the fire alarms provided in flats are interlinked to those in common parts.

Manual Fire Alarms

| Are there sufficient means of manually raising an alarm? | N/A |
|---|-----|
| Are manual callpoints appropriately located and free from obvious defect? | N/A |

Automatic Fire Detection

| Is there sufficient provision of automatic fire detection? | No |
|--|----|
| Is the type of automatic fire detection suitable and free from obvious defect? | No |

Comments

There is a BS5839-6 fire alarm provided in the common parts of this building.

This may been provided due to concerns over compartmentation within the building. No documentation regarding the cause and effect of the system was available and it cannot be confirmed whether the fire alarm in the common areas is interlinked to those installed within flats.

The provision of a common fire alarm system contradicts National Guidance for a building of this type (general needs, purpose built, self contained flats). A letter dated 6th January 2020 from QFSM Ltd to ISHA regarding the provision of fire alarms in common parts of blocks of flats offers guidance and recommendations on this matter and this letter should be referred to when considering whether this is a necessary provision, or if it is considered a necessary provision whether this fire alarm is of the Standard required.

(NB - It should be noted that this letter refers to fire alarm sounders only, and that any detection provided for the operation of the automatic smoke ventilation system should remain.)

As part of the previous Type 3 Fire Risk Assessment access was gained into sample flats to assess the provision and suitability of fire alarms.

Access was gained into flats 5 and 15 which have a fire alarm provided to BS5839-6 LD3 standard.

It is always recommended as best practice to ensure that working smoke alarms are provided in all dwellings at least to a BS 5839-6 Category LD3 standard. These should ideally be Grade D alarms (mains powered with integral battery back-up), although Grade F alarms (battery powered only) are a reasonable short term measure.



BS5839-6 and BS5839-1 detection within corridors.

Audibility

Are there adequate means of alerting all relevant persons?

No

Comments

There is a BS5839-6 fire alarm provided in the common parts of this building, which if it is deemed to be required is not suitable and sufficient to support a stay put policy. A task has been generated in the "Automatic Fire Detection" section of this report.

Firefighting

Fire Extinguishers

| Are fire extinguishers expected? | No |
|--|---|
| Why not? | Not practicable to train residents Fire unlikely in communal areas Vandalism concerns |
| Are fire extinguishers provided? | No |
| Is the provision of fire extinguishers reasonable? | Yes |
| Fixed Systems | |
| Are any fixed systems provided? | No |
| Is provision of fixed systems reasonable? | Yes |

Fire Service Facilities

Are any fire service facilities provided?

Yes

Types of facility

- Smoke ventilation
- · Entrance door override
- Premises information box

Is provision of fire service facilities reasonable?

Yes

Comments

The contents of the premises information box could not be accessed to check suitability of content.

Floor numbers are clearly identified within each corridor, however it is advised to provide clear floor numberings within the staircase to aid Firefighters entering the building via the staircase to quickly identify the fire floor.



Floor numbers are clearly identified within corridors.



Dry riser inlet located directly outside main entrance door.



Proximity of main entrance door from closest point of fire appliance access

Lighting

Normal Lighting

| Is there adequate lighting of internal escape routes? | Yes |
|---|-----|
| Is there adequate lighting of external escape routes? | Yes |
| Is there adequate lighting in risk critical areas? | Yes |
| Emanaganay Lighting | |

Emergency Lighting

| Method of emergency lighting of internal escape routes: | Maintained emergency lighting (local) |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| Is this provision reasonable? | Yes |
| Method of emergency lighting of external escape routes: | Maintained emergency lighting (local) |
| Is this provision reasonable? | Yes |
| Method of emergency lighting of other areas: | Borrowed light |
| Is this provision reasonable? | Yes |

Comments

Although this inspection took place during daylight hours, given the provision of street lighting in the immediate vicinity and lighting provided by surrounding buildings, it is reasonable to assume there would be sufficient borrowed light to aid escape in these areas.



Maintained emergency lighting is provided in the staircase and corridors

Signs & Notices

Escape Routes

| Is escape route signage necessary? | No |
|--|---|
| Why not? | Simple escape routes Routes in ordinary use |
| Is escape route signage provided? | Yes |
| Is provision of escape route signage suitable? | Yes |
| Fire Doors | |
| Is there signage suitable for self-closing fire doors? | No |
| Is there signage suitable for locked fire doors? | No |
| Is there signage suitable for automatic fire doors? | N/A |

Comments

"Fire door keep shut" signage is fitted to locked fire doors (such as riser cupboards), "fire door keep locked shut" signage is fitted to self-closing fire doors (i.e. staircase doors)

This fire door signage provision is incorrect, "Fire door keep shut" signage should be fitted to the staircase doors and "fire door keep locked signage" should be fitted to riser doors.

Other Signs & Notices

| Is there suitable signage for fire service facilities? | Yes |
|---|---------------|
| Are fire action notices suitable? | Minor Defects |
| Are there suitable notices for fire extinguishers? | N/A |
| Is there suitable zone information for the fire alarm system? | N/A |

Comments

The provided fire action noticed is one for a stay put policy. As stated elsewhere in this report the provision of a common fire alarm compromises a stay put policy. Once considerations are made regarding the common fire alarm are made it is imperative that the provided fire action notice accurately reflects the evacuation policy in place within the building

Fire Safety Management

Procedures & Arrangements

| Current evacuation policy | Undefined |
|---------------------------|-----------|
| | Ondermed |

Further details

Whilst it would be expected that a stay put policy be in place within this building (as per national guidance for a building of this type) there is a common fire alarm provided. It is not known why this common fire alarm has been provided however its provision is problematic.

The provision of a common fire alarm encourages persons to leave their flat, even if the fire is not within their flat, and thus compromises a stay put policy. However, should it be desirable to have a simultaneous evacuation strategy in this building, then the provided BS5839-6 system is inadequate to support such a strategy.

Therefore the current provision both compromises a stay put policy, and is insufficient to support a simultaneous evacuation strategy.

It is strongly recommended that the common fire alarm provision is reviewed and that either the current common provided fire alarm is removed or the system is substantially upgraded to a mixed system (BS5839-1 L3 system within the common parts, with heat detector sounder (interlinked) in the entrance hallway of each flat, and a BS5839-6 LD3 D1 system within each flat (not interlinked), which would be required to adequately support a simultaneous evacuation strategy.

Please see comments and tasks generated within the automatic fire detection section of this report regarding this matter.

| Are fire action procedures suitable and appropriately documented? | Not Known |
|--|-----------|
| Are there suitable arrangements for calling the fire service? | N/A |
| Is there a suitable fire assembly point? | N/A |
| Are there suitable arrangements for the evacuation of disabled people? | Yes |

Comments

These are general needs flats and as such no specific occupancy risk is identified. Tenants are presumed to be a typical cross section of public and could include visitors and contractors. It is assumed that all occupants and visitors are capable of using the means of escape unaided to reach a place of ultimate safety.

Training & Drills Are staff regularly on the premises? No Are employees from outside organisations given appropriate fire safety Yes information? Comments Fire Action notices provide sufficient information to inform persons from outside organisations of the action to take in the event of a fire alarm actuation or discovering a fire. Testing & Maintenance Was testing & maintenance information available? No Are fire extinguishers subject to suitable test & maintenance? N/A Comments Fire Safety documentation for the testing and maintenance of fire safety systems is held centrally at the ISHA Head Office. The ISHA Neighbourhood Officer has confirmed that these are up to date.

Comments

Record Keeping

Were fire safety records available?

Fire Safety documentation for the testing and maintenance of fire safety systems is held centrally at the ISHA Head Office. The ISHA Neighbourhood Officer has confirmed that these are up to date.

No

Tasks

Task 1

Source Version 2

Category Escape Routes & Fire Spread

Sub Category Smoke Ventilation

Action Required It was noted that the window AOV on the fourth floor

corridor did not open and appears to have been screwed shut. This should be repaired serviced and tested as soon as

possible.

Priority High

Status Identified

Owner Customer Homes

Due Date 17 May 2021





Task 2

Source Version 1

Category Detection & Warning

Sub Category Automatic Fire Detection

Action Required This provision of a common fire alarm system contradicts

National Guidance for a building of this type (general needs, purpose built, self contained flats). A letter dated 6th January 2020 from QFSM Ltd to ISHA regarding the provision of fire alarms in common parts of blocks of flats offers guidance and recommendations on this matter and this letter should be referred to when considering whether this is a necessary provision, or if it is considered a necessary provision whether this fire alarm is of the

Standard required.

Priority Advisory

Status Identified

Owner Customer Homes

Due Date 9 February 2022



Task 3

Source Version 1

Category Fire Prevention
Sub Category Housekeeping

Action Required The storage of combustible items in escape routes should

be prohibited.

There is an excessive amount of items outside flat numbers

6, 7 and 10.

Priority Medium

Status Identified

Owner Neighbourhood Services

Due Date 9 February 2021



Task 4

Source Version 1

Category Escape Routes & Fire Spread

Sub Category Construction and Glazing

Action Required Confirm that any inspection hatches are appropriately fire

rated, and are replaced after use by contractors.

Priority Medium

Status Identified

Owner Neighbourhood Services

Due Date 9 February 2021

Task 5

Source Version 1

Category Escape Routes & Fire Spread

Sub Category Construction and Glazing

Action Required Whilst it is evident that a comprehensive program of fire

stopping has been carried out within this building, there is a duct which passes from the ground floor staircase through to the ground floor corridor, there are numerous vents from this duct into the common areas. It cannot be confirmed without any protection is afforded (such as dampers) Where is this duct passes through compartment walls. It is recommended to conduct a fire stopping survey of this area to ensure compartmentation is provided and the

staircase remains protected

Priority Medium

Status Identified

Owner Customer Homes

Due Date 9 February 2021



Owner

Source Version 1

Category Escape Routes & Fire Spread

Sub Category Ease of Use

Action Required A requirement introduced in 2015 in BS 7671 which

covers electrical installations in the UK, states that all new wiring systems to use metal, rather than plastic, to support cables in escape routes, to prevent their premature collapse

in the event of a fire.

Customer Homes

Priority Advisory

Status Identified

Due Date 9 February 2023









Risk Score

Risk Score

Tolerable Risk

Next Assessment Due

31 August 2024

| Likelihood | | | |
|------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|
| | Slight Harm | Moderate Harm | Extreme Harm |
| High | Moderate | Substantial | Intolerable |
| Medium | Tolerable | Moderate | Substantial |
| Low | Trivial | Tolerable | Moderate |

Likelihood

Low Unusually low likelihood of fire as a result of negligible potential sources of ignition.

Medium Normal fire hazards (e.g. potential ignition sources) for this type of occupancy, with fire hazards

generally subject to appropriate controls (other than minor shortcomings).

High Lack of adequate controls applied to one or more significant fire hazards, such as to result in

significant increase in likelihood of fire.

Consequence

Slight Outbreak of fire unlikely to result in serious injury or death of any occupant (other than an

occupant sleeping in a room in which a fire occurs).

Moderate Outbreak of fire could foreseeably result in injury (including serious injury) of one or more

occupants, but it is unlikely to involve multiple fatalities.

Extreme Significant potential for serious injury or death of one or more occupants.