

Fire Risk Assessment 53 Groomsbridge Rd

Version 4

11 September 2024



Next Assessment Due: 30 September 2025 Risk Score: Moderate Risk Assessor: David Lloyd

Contents

1 Action Plan Summary	
2 Introduction	
3 Executive Summary	
4 Premises Details	7
5 Fire Prevention	9
6 Escape Routes & Fire Spread	
7 Detection & Warning	
8 Firefighting	
9 Lighting	
10 Signs & Notices	
11 Fire Safety Management	
12 Tasks	
13 Risk Score	

Action Plan Summary

Task No	. Category	Sub Category	Action Required	Priority	Status	Action Taken	Date Completed
1	Fire Prevention	Housekeeping	The storage of combustible items in escape routes should be prohibited. Version 4. 11/9/24 This task remains outstanding.	High	Identified		
2	Escape Routes & Fire Spread	Ease of Use	Obstructions should be removed from the escape routes in the entrance hallway. Version 4. 12/9/24 This task remains outstanding.	Medium	Identified		
3	Escape Routes & Fire Spread	Fire Doors	Install intumescent strips and smoke seals on the following doors: Both flat entrance doors to flat A and flat B. Version 4. 11/9/24 This task remains outstanding.	Low	Identified		

Detection & Warning	Automatic Fire Detection	There is a part 6 fire alarm located in the entrance hallway. It could not be confirmed if this is interlinked into the flats, however, from visual examination it is reasonable to assume this is unlikely. The provision of a common fire alarm in converted flats of a stay put standard is not necessary. It may have been provided due to concerns over compartmentation in the building. If this is the case, then the current provision is not suitable and should be upgraded to the recommendations of LACoRS guidance for fire detection and alarm systems for a three-or four-storey building converted into self-contained flats which is: A mixed system • Grade A: LD2 coverage in the common areas and a heat alarm in each flat in the room/lobby opening onto the escape route (interlinked); and • Grade D: LD3 coverage in each flat (non-interlinked smoke alarm in the room/lobby opening onto the escape route) to protect the sleeping occupants of the flat (This is subject to the fire separation recommendations as given in LACoRS) • Version 4. 11/9/2& There is no evidence that this has been resolved so this task remains outstanding.	Medium	Identified

4

Introduction

This report presents the findings of a fire risk assessment carried-out at the premises by QFSM Ltd. The scope, format and limitations of the fire risk assessment have been discussed and agreed with the client.

The scope of the assessment does not include individual dwellings. Notwithstanding any statement or recommendation made with respect to smoke/heat alarms within dwellings, it is always recommended as best practice to ensure that working smoke alarms are provided in all dwellings at least to a BS 5839-6 Category LD3 standard. These should ideally be Grade D1 alarms (mains powered with integral tamperproof battery back-up), although Grade F1 alarms (tamperproof battery powered only) are a reasonable short term measure.

The report includes an action plan which contains recommended tasks, each with a suggested due date. These due dates are only our suggestions, and may or may not be appropriate, depending on individual circumstances such as financial constraints and requirements of enforcing authorities.

The premises risk score was assessed at the time of the fire risk assessment, and a recommended review date has been provided. The actual level of risk may change over time, as a result of tasks being completed, or new risks arising. Regardless of the review date, the fire risk assessment should be reviewed regularly so as to keep it up to date and particularly if:

- there is reason to suspect that the fire risk assessment is no longer valid; or
- there has been a significant change in the matters to which the fire risk assessment relates.

If you have any queries please contact QFSM Ltd at office@qfsmltd.co.uk.

Executive Summary

This building was originally a single private dwelling converted at some point, probably before the 1980's, to form separate flats. The building regulation standards in use today were not introduced until 1991, however, some of the principles within the early editions of ADB have been applied and generally the building meets the current guidance. However, a BS5839 Part 6 fire alarm system has been installed in the common parts which prompts Simultaneous Evacuation rather than the more common approach of Stay Put. The Simultaneous Evacuation philosophy is not incorrect, but requires management consideration. If the common fire alarm system should be considered for replacement or significant cost of remedial work there is value in reviewing the evacuation strategy. Following a Type 4 FRA and confirmation that compartmentation in the building is adequate to support a stay put strategy, then a decision may be taken to remove the common fire alarm and revert to a Stay Put strategy. If the decision is made to continue to provide a common fire alarm then it should be made clear that the current fire alarm provision is not suitable and it is recommended that it is upgraded to the recommendations of LACoRS guidance for fire detection and alarm systems for a three storey building converted into self-contained flats.

Version 4. 11/9/24

This new version was created on 12/9/24 and is not a review of the fire risk assessment. This is purely an on-site audit carried out at the request of the client to ascertain the progress of any action carried out against previous tasks identified in previous versions of this fire risk assessment.

The previous FRA for this building was reviewed prior to this inspection, paying particular attention to any tasks generated by that FRA. During this inspection these tasks were inspected where access was possible, to ascertain if the recommended remedial work had been completed, and comments regarding the progress of any remedial work made accordingly.

The standard of housekeeping throughout the building was considered unsatisfactory, with the exception of an unacceptable amount of combustible items located in the entrance hallway.

Giving consideration to the general fire safety arrangements within the building, and the tasks recommended as detailed within this report, it is assessed that this building presents a Moderate risk.

Premises Details

Address line 1	53 Groomsbridge Road
Town	Hackney
Postcode	E9 7DP
FRA Type	Type 1 - Common parts only (non-

destructive)

Description

A Type 1 fire risk assessment has been conducted at this building. This means the inspection of the building has been nondestructive. As well as considering the arrangements for means of escape, the fire risk assessment has included, where possible, the examination of a sample of flat entrance doors. It has also considered, so far as reasonably practicable, the separating construction between the flats and the common parts without any intrusive examination of construction. This Type of fire risk assessment has not involved entry to flats beyond the area of the flat entrance door.

Client	ISHA
Building Information	
Use	Converted, self-contained flats
Number of floors - ground and above	3
Number of floors - below ground	0
Number of flats	2
Number of stair cores	1
Approach to flats	• Entrance hallway

Approximate period of construction

Is the top occupied storey over 18 metres above access level?

Construction details

Traditional brick construction with intermediate timber floors and a covered pitched roof. Access to common area via secure door entry system with both flats accessed directly from small communal hallway at ground floor level. Assumed flat A covers the ground & first floor and flat B covers the 2nd floor level with internal private staircase. Electric cupboard noted within common area.

Attention is drawn to the Ministry of Housing, Communities & Local Government Consolidated Advice Note for building owners of multi-storey, multi-occupied residential buildings, dated January 2020. The Advice Note recommends that building owners should consider the risk of external fire spread as part of the fire risk assessment for multi-occupied residential buildings. Consideration has been given to this matter within this fire risk assessment. The Advice Note further recommends the assessment of the fire risks of any external wall system, irrespective of the height of the building.

External walls of traditional brick/mortar construction - front elevati External wall details


External walls of traditional brick and mortar construction.

Are there any private balconies?

People

Are there any people especially at risk from fire?

Brick and mortar external walls - side elevation



Pre 1900

No

Not Known


No





Fire Prevention

Electrical



Comments

Test labels on electrical installations are out of date, however, documentation regarding the testing and maintenance of fixed electrical installations is held centrally by ISHA. The Neighbourhood Officer has confirmed these are all up to date.

Gas	
Are gas installations and appliances free from any obvious defect?	N/A
Is gas equipment protected/located so as not to be prone to accidental damage?	N/A

Comments

There is no gas provision or equipment in the common areas.

Gas meters are located externally in bespoke cabinets.



Gas meters located externally

Heating

Are fixed heating installations free from any obvious defect?

Are portable heaters used?

Comments

There is no heating provision in the common areas.

Fire Risk Assessment 53 Groomsbridge Rd Version 4 N/A

No

Cooking

cooking			
Does cooking take place on the premises?	No		
Comments			
Cooking takes place within flats only and does not take place in the common part	ts.		
Arson			
Is security against arson reasonable?	Yes		
Is there a reasonable absence of external fuels and ignition sources?	Yes		
Comments			
The main entrance door was found to be locked and secure, preventing unauthorised access.			
Housekeeping			
Is accumulation of combustibles or waste avoided?	No		
Are there appropriate storage facilities for combustible & hazardous materials?	N/A		

Comments

There was a significant volume of combustible materials found in the entrance hallway which should be removed.



Combustibles in the entrance hallway

Building Works

Are the premises free of any obvious signs of incorrect hot work procedures in the past?

Smoking

Are there suitable arrangements taken to prevent fires caused by smoking?

Comments

"No Smoking" signage is provided, and there is no evidence of smoking taking place in the common parts.

Dangerous Substances

Are dangerous substances present, or liable to be present?

Lightning

Is a lightning protection system installed?


No

Yes

No

Escape Routes & Fire Spread

Ease of Use



Comments

Tenants are presumed to be a typical cross section of the public and could include visitors and contractors. It is assumed that all occupants and visitors are capable of using the means of escape unaided to reach a place of ultimate safety.

Obstructions should be removed from the escape routes in the entrance hallway.


Dimensions

Are travel distances reasonable?

Is there sufficient exit capacity?

Yes
Yes

Fire Doors



Comments

It is understood that communal doors are inspected regularly by neighbourhood officers and formally recorded in the quarterly/6 monthly estate inspections with residents. Records are held with the neighbourhood officers. Flat entrance doors are inspected during the annual LGSR visits where the gas engineers record on their PDA if a door closer exists and intumescent strips and cold smoke seals exist.

As part of this Fire Risk Assessment, access was gained into a sample flat to assess the suitability of flat entrance doors, and any internal doors which open onto the entrance hallway. Access was gained into flat A which has an entrance door fitted to FD30SC standard, and the internal doors which open onto the entrance hallway are not fire resisting. It was noted that there are no intumescent strips and cold smoke seals fitted in this door.

The flat entrance door to flat B could not be assessed due to access. However, this appears to be of the same age, condition and design of flat A and was probably installed at the same time. It is therefore reasonable to assume that it is of the same fire resisting standard. The provision and condition of self closing devices, intumescent strips/cold smoke seals, and effective door closing action of the door to flat B however could not be assessed and this should be confirmed ensure both flat entrance doors afford FD30S SC standard of fire resistance.

Construction & Glazing

Are escape routes protected with suitable walls and floors?	Yes
Is there adequate compartmentation?	Yes
Is there reasonable limitation of linings that might promote fire spread?	Yes
Glazing which is expected to be fire resisting, inc vision panels and fanlights:	• None
Is glazing reasonable and free from any obvious defects?	Yes
Dampers, Ducts & Chutes	
Are there suitable measures to restrict fire spread via ducts and concealed spaces?	Not Confirmed
Comments	
No Dampers, Ducts or Chutes evident.	
Smoke Ventilation	
Areas where smoke ventilation is expected:	• Lobbies
Lobbies	Openable Doors
Is smoke ventilation reasonable and free from any obvious defects?	Yes

Detection & Warning

Is an electrical fire alarm system expected?	No
Why not?	Converted flats of stay-put standard
Is a fire detection and/or alarm system provided?	Yes
Areas covered	Communal areas
Communal Areas	
System Category	• BS 5839 Pt6 Grade D Category LD3
Cause & Effect	• Not confirmed
Control Equipment	
Is the control equipment suitably located?	N/A
Is the control equipment free from any obvious fault or defect?	N/A
Manual Fire Alarms	
Are there sufficient means of manually raising an alarm?	N/A
Are manual callpoints appropriately located and free from obvious defect?	N/A

Automatic Fire Detection

Is there sufficient provision of automatic fire detection?	No
Is the type of automatic fire detection suitable and free from obvious defect?	No

Comments

There is a part 6 fire alarm located in the entrance hallway. It could not be confirmed if this is interlinked into the flats, however, from visual examination it is reasonable to assume this is unlikely. The provision of a common fire alarm in converted flats of a stay put standard is not necessary. It may have been provided due to concerns over compartmentation in the building. If this is the case, then the current provision is not suitable and should be upgraded to the recommendations of LACoRS guidance for fire detection and alarm systems for a three-or four-storey building converted into self-contained flats which is:

A mixed system

• Grade A: LD2 coverage in the common areas and a heat alarm in each flat in the room/lobby opening onto the escape route (interlinked); and

• Grade D: LD3 coverage in each flat (non-interlinked smoke alarm in the room/lobby opening onto the escape route) to protect the sleeping occupants of the flat

(This is subject to the fire separation recommendations as given in LACoRS)

As part of this Type 3 Fire Risk Assessment access was gained into a sample flat to assess the provision and suitability of fire alarms.

Access was gained into flat A which has a fire alarm provided to BS5839-6 LD2 standard however, it was noted that the detector head had been removed by the resident. It is always recommended as best practice to ensure that working smoke alarms are provided in all dwellings at least to a BS 5839-6 Category LD3 standard. These should ideally be Grade D alarms (mains powered with integral battery back-up), although Grade F alarms (battery powered only) are a reasonable short term measure.

Audibility

Are there adequate means of alerting all relevant persons?

Comments

See comment and task above.

No

Firefighting

Fire Extinguishers

Are fire extinguishers expected?	No
Why not?	 Not practicable to train residents Fire unlikely in communal areas Vandalism concerns
Are fire extinguishers provided?	No
Is the provision of fire extinguishers reasonable?	Yes
Fixed Systems	
Are any fixed systems provided?	No
Is provision of fixed systems reasonable?	Yes
Fire Service Facilities	
Are any fire service facilities provided?	No
Is provision of fire service facilities reasonable?	Yes

Lighting

Normal Lighting

Is there adequate lighting of internal escape routes?	Yes
Is there adequate lighting of external escape routes?	N/A
Is there adequate lighting in risk critical areas?	N/A

Emergency Lighting

Method of emergency lighting of internal escape routes:	• Borrowed light
Is this provision reasonable?	Yes
Method of emergency lighting of external escape routes:	• Borrowed light
Is this provision reasonable?	Yes
Method of emergency lighting of other areas:	• Not applicable
Is this provision reasonable?	Yes

Comments

Based on the guidance of Table 9 in Approved Document B, Emergency lighting is not generally required in blocks of flats comprising of ground and first floor only, particularly where borrowed light, on a separate electrical sub-circuit is available.

Although this inspection took place during daylight hours, given the provision of street lighting in the immediate vicinity and lighting provided by surrounding buildings, it is reasonable to assume there would be sufficient borrowed light to aid escape in these areas.

Signs & Notices

Escape Routes

Is escape route signage necessary?	No	
Why not?	Simple escape routesRoutes in ordinary use	
Is escape route signage provided?	No	
Is provision of escape route signage suitable?	Yes	
Fire Doors		
Is there signage suitable for self-closing fire doors?	N/A	
Is there signage suitable for locked fire doors?	Yes	
Is there signage suitable for automatic fire doors?	N/A	
Other Signs & Notices		
Is there suitable signage for fire service facilities?	N/A	

 Are fire action notices suitable?
 Yes

 Are there suitable notices for fire extinguishers?
 N/A

 Is there suitable zone information for the fire alarm system?
 N/A

Fire Risk Assessment 53 Groomsbridge Rd Version 4

Fire Safety Management

Procedures & Arrangements

Current evacuation policy

Simultaneous

Further details

The presence of a common fire alarm suggests there is a simultaneous evacuation policy in place, which is unusual for converted flats of a stay put standard. Please see the comments and tasks generated in "Fire Detection and Alarm" section of this report regarding this matter.

Are fire action procedures suitable and appropriately documented?	Not Known
Are there suitable arrangements for calling the fire service?	N/A
Is there a suitable fire assembly point?	Yes
Are there suitable arrangements for the evacuation of disabled people?	Yes

Comments

These are general needs flats and as such no specific occupancy risk is identified. Tenants are presumed to be a typical cross section of public and could include visitors and contractors. It is assumed that all occupants and visitors are capable of using the means of escape unaided to reach a place of ultimate safety.

Training & Drills

Are staff regularly on the premises?	No
Are employees from outside organisations given appropriate fire safety information?	Yes

Comments

Fire Action notices provide sufficient information to inform persons from outside organisations of the action to take in the event of a fire alarm actuation or discovering a fire.

Testing & Maintenance

Was testing & maintenance information available?	No
Are fire extinguishers subject to suitable test & maintenance?	N/A

Comments

Fire Safety documentation for the testing and maintenance of fire safety systems is held centrally at the ISHA Head Office. The ISHA Neighbourhood Officer has confirmed that these are up to date.

Record Keeping

Were fire safety records available?

No

Comments

Fire Safety documentation for the testing and maintenance of fire safety systems is held centrally at the ISHA Head Office. The ISHA Neighbourhood Officer has confirmed that these are up to date.

Tasks

Task 1

Source Version	1
Category	Fire Prevention
Sub Category	Housekeeping
Action Required	The storage of combustible items in escape routes should be prohibited.
	Version 4. 11/9/24 This task remains outstanding.
Priority	High
Status	Identified
Owner	Neighbourhood Services
Due Date	27 January 2021



Task 2

Source Version	1
Category	Escape Routes & Fire Spread
Sub Category	Ease of Use
Action Required	Obstructions should be removed from the escape routes in the entrance hallway.
	Version 4. 12/9/24
	This task remains outstanding.
Priority	Medium
Status	Identified
Owner	Neighbourhood Services
Due Date	29 April 2021



Task 3

Source Version	1
Category	Escape Routes & Fire Spread
Sub Category	Fire Doors
Action Required	Install intumescent strips and smoke seals on the following doors:
	Both flat entrance doors to flat A and flat B.
	Version 4. 11/9/24
	This task remains outstanding.
Priority	Low
Status	Identified
Status Owner	Identified Customer Homes



Task 4

Source Version	1	
Category	Detection & Warning	
Sub Category	Automatic Fire Detection	
Action Required	There is a part 6 fire alarm located in the entrance hallway. It could not be confirmed if this is interlinked into the flats, however, from visual examination it is reasonable to assume this is unlikely. The provision of a common fire alarm in converted flats of a stay put standard is not necessary. It may have been provided due to concerns over compartmentation in the building. If this is the case, then the current provision is not suitable and should be upgraded to the recommendations of LACoRS guidance for fire detection and alarm systems for a three-or four- storey building converted into self-contained flats which is:	
	 A mixed system Grade A: LD2 coverage in the common areas and a heat alarm in each flat in the room/lobby opening onto the escape route (interlinked); and Grade D: LD3 coverage in each flat (non-interlinked smoke alarm in the room/lobby opening onto the escape route) to protect the sleeping occupants of the flat (This is subject to the fire separation recommendations as given in LACoRS) 	
	Version 4. 11/9/2& There is no evidence that this has been resolved so this task remains outstanding.	
Priority	Medium	
Status	Identified	
Owner	Customer Homes	
Due Date	29 April 2021	



Risk Score

Risk Score

Moderate Risk

Next Assessment Due

30 September 2025

Likelihood		Potential Consequence		
	Slight Harm	Moderate Harm	Extreme Harm	
High	Moderate	Substantial	Intolerable	
Medium	Tolerable	Moderate	Substantial	
Low	Trivial	Tolerable	Moderate	
Likelihood				
Low	Unusually low likelihood of fire as a result of negligible potential sources of ignition.			
	ormal fire hazards (e.g. potential ignition sources) for this type of occupancy, with fire hazards enerally subject to appropriate controls (other than minor shortcomings).			
0	Lack of adequate controls applied to one or more significant fire hazards, such as to result in significant increase in likelihood of fire.			
Consequence				
0	Outbreak of fire unlikely to result in serious injury or death of any occupant (other than an occupant sleeping in a room in which a fire occurs).			
	Outbreak of fire could foreseeably result in injury (including serious injury) of one or more occupants, but it is unlikely to involve multiple fatalities.			
Extreme	ignificant potential for serious injury or death of one or more occupants.			